

Tight embeddings of partial quadrilateral packings

Zoltán Füredi¹

Alfréd Rényi Institute
Hungarian Academy of Sciences
Budapest, P.O.Box 127, Hungary, H-1364,
furedi@renyi.hu
and
Department of Mathematics
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
Urbana, IL 61801, USA
z-furedi@math.uiuc.edu

Jenő Lehel²

Department of Mathematical Sciences
The University of Memphis, Tennessee, USA
jlehel@memphis.edu
and
Computer and Automation Research Institute
Hungarian Academy of Sciences,
Budapest, Hungary

Abstract

Let $f(n; C_4)$ be the smallest integer such that, given any set of edge disjoint quadrilaterals on n vertices, one can extend it into a complete quadrilateral decomposition by including at most $f(n; C_4)$ additional vertices. It is known, and it is easy to show, that $\sqrt{n}-1 \leq f(n; C_4)$. Here we settle the longstanding problem that $f(n; C_4) = \sqrt{n} + o(\sqrt{n})$.

1 H -packings and H -designs

Let H be a simple graph. An H -packing of order n is a set $\mathcal{P} := \{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_m\}$ of edge disjoint copies of H whose union forms a graph with n vertices. If this graph is the complete graph K_n , then \mathcal{P} is called an H -decomposition on n vertices, or following the terminology of design theory, it is called an H -design of order n . In this case $\binom{n}{2}/e(H)$ is an integer, where $e(H)$ denotes the number of edges of H , and we have the obvious congruence properties

Key words and Phrases: graphs, packings, H -designs, quadrilaterals

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05B30, 05C70, 05D99.

Submitted to ???

[myc4.tex] Printed on April 30, 2008

¹ Research supported in part by the Hungarian National Science Foundation under grants OTKA 062321, 060427 and by the National Science Foundation under grant NFS DMS 06-00303.

² Research partially supported by the Vernon Wilson endowment 2007 at the Eastern Kentucky University.

$$e(H) \mid \binom{n}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \gcd(\deg(v_1), \dots, \deg(v_k)) \mid (n-1) \quad (1)$$

where H has vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k , and $\deg(v_i)$ denotes the degree of v_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$. An integer n for which there exists an H -design of order n should satisfy the divisibility constraints (1), and is called *H-admissible*. Wilson [21] proved that given any graph H , there exists an integer $n_0(H)$ such that every $n > n_0(H)$ satisfying (1) is H -admissible. The case of the complete graph $H = K_t$ is equivalent to the existence of Steiner systems $S(n, t, 2)$. Further H -designs and graph decompositions can be found in [2].

Let $\Lambda(n; H)$ be the least H -admissible integer N_0 such that, for every H -admissible integer $N \geq N_0$, any H -packing of order n can be extended into an H -design of order N . The existence of $\Lambda(n; H)$, for every H , follows from a far more general result of Wilson [20]. The determination of Λ is a difficult research area with full of problems and results. Let us list a few explicit bounds. Concerning C_ℓ , the cycle of length ℓ , Hoffman, Lindner, Rodger, and Stinson (see [9, 16]) showed

$$\Lambda(n; C_{2k}) \leq kn + O(k^2).$$

For the quadrilateral Lindner [13] showed

$$\Lambda(n; C_4) \leq 2n + 15.$$

The case $\Lambda(n; D)$ where D denotes the five-vertex graph consisting of a 4-cycle with a pendant edge attached was studied by Jenkins [10], he showed

$$\Lambda(n; D) \leq 4n + 22.$$

For a graph K called a *kite*, that is a triangle with a pendant edge attached, it was recently showed by Küçükçifçi, Lindner, and Rodger [12] that

$$\Lambda(n; K) \leq 8n + 9.$$

Bryant, Khodkar, and El-Zanati [5] gave explicit upper bounds (linear in n) for an infinite class of bipartite H .

2 Quadrilateral decompositions

In the case of $H = C_4$, i.e., for quadrilateral decompositions, (1) implies that a C_4 -admissible integer n must satisfy $n \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Furthermore, every such integer n is C_4 -admissible (see, e.g., in [15]). Indeed, for $n = 9$ and $V = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 8\}$, it is clear that the cycles

$$(i, i+2, i+5, i+1) \pmod{9}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, 8$$

form a C_4 -decomposition of K_9 . Using this construction and the obvious fact that

$$\text{the complete bipartite graph } K_{2p,2q} \text{ has a } C_4\text{-decomposition} \quad (2)$$

we obtain easily that

$$\text{any } C_4\text{-decomposition of } K_n \text{ can be extended into a } C_4\text{-decomposition of } K_{n+8} . \quad (3)$$

Indeed, choose an arbitrary vertex v of the C_4 -decomposition of K_n on V , and add an 8-element disjoint vertex set B . Taking a C_4 -decomposition of K_9 on $B \cup \{v\}$ and using that both $a = |V \setminus \{v\}|$ and $b = |B|$ are even, the complete bipartite graph $K_{a,b}$ between $V \setminus \{v\}$ and B has a C_4 -decomposition according to (2). Thus we obtain a quadrilateral decomposition of K_{n+8} on $V \cup B$.

Hilton and Lindner [8] achieved a breakthrough recently by showing that the order of magnitude of $\Lambda(n; C_4) - n$ is only $o(n)$. They proved

$$n + n^{1/2} - 1 \leq \Lambda(n; C_4) \leq n + \sqrt{12} n^{3/4} + o(n^{3/4}). \quad (4)$$

The aim of this paper is to show that $\Lambda(n; C_4)$ is more like the lower bound which settles a problem proposed decades ago (c.f. [16]).

Theorem 1. $\Lambda(n; C_4) = n + \sqrt{n} + o(\sqrt{n})$.

In terms of graphs our result shows that every partial quadrilateral packing on n vertices can be extended into a complete quadrilateral decomposition by the inclusion of at most $\sqrt{n} + o(\sqrt{n})$ additional vertices.

3 Notations, the chromatic index of graphs

For a graph G the set of its vertices and its edges is respectively denoted by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$. All graphs here are simple graphs with no loop or multiple edge. The degree of a vertex $x \in V(G)$ is $\deg(x) = |\{v \in V(G) \mid vx \in E(G)\}|$, the minimum and the maximum degree of G is $\delta(G) = \min\{\deg(x) \mid x \in V(G)\}$ and $\Delta(G) = \max\{\deg(x) \mid x \in V(G)\}$. A cycle and a path with k vertices is denoted by C_k and P_k , respectively. The 4-cycle C_4 is also called a *quadrilateral*. A *matching* is a set of pairwise disjoint edges, the size of the largest matching in the graph G is called its *matching number* and is denoted by $\nu(G)$.

Let C be an arbitrary set of $k = |C|$ colors. A *proper edge k -coloring* of the graph G is a function $c : E(G) \rightarrow C$, such that any two incident edges $e, f \in E(G)$ receive distinct colors, that is $c(e) \neq c(f)$. The minimum k such that there is a proper edge k -coloring of G

is called the *chromatic index* (or edge chromatic number) of G and it is denoted by $\chi'(G)$. By Vizing's theorem (see eg. in [3]) for every simple graph G one has

$$\Delta(G) \leq \chi'(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1.$$

A related parameter, the list chromatic index of a graph G is defined as follows. Given a mapping L from $E(G)$ into the power set of the underlying color set C , a proper edge list coloring c of G from the lists $L(e)$ is a proper edge coloring of G with the additional property that $c(e) \in L(e)$, for every $e \in E(G)$. The *list chromatic index*, $\chi'_\ell(G)$, is the least integer t such that there exists a proper edge list coloring of G for every list assignment L , provided $|L(e)| \geq t$, for all $e \in E(G)$.

It is an open problem whether the list chromatic index of a graph equals its edge chromatic number (see [4]). No tight bound comparable to Vizing's theorem is known for the list chromatic index. However, Kahn [11] proved the asymptotic version of the list chromatic index conjecture by showing that $\chi'_\ell = \Delta + o(\Delta)$. A more explicit bound (with a polynomial error term) was proved by Häggkvist and Janssen [7]. The best known upper bound is due to Molloy and Reed [17]: there exists an absolute constant c_0 , not depending on Δ , such that for every simple graph of maximum degree Δ one has

$$\chi'_\ell < \Delta + c_0(\log \Delta)^4 \Delta^{1/2}. \quad (5)$$

4 Tightest quadrilateral extensions

Let $f(n; H)$ be the smallest integer t such that, any H -packing on n vertices can be extended to a H -decomposition on at most $n + t$ vertices. By definition,

$$n + f(n; H) \leq \Lambda(n; H).$$

On the other hand, (3) implies that every C_4 -packing \mathcal{P} on n vertices can be embedded into a C_4 -decomposition of order $n + f(n; C_4)$, $n + f(n; C_4) + 8$, $n + f(n; C_4) + 16$, etc., hence $\Lambda(n; C_4) \leq n + f(n; C_4)$. Thus we obtain

Proposition 2. *For every integer n , $\Lambda(n; C_4) = n + f(n; C_4)$.* □

Construction 3. *Define a C_4 -packing \mathcal{P} on $V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with the quadrilaterals of the form $(2i, 2j, 2i - 1, 2j - 1)$, for all $1 \leq i < j \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. Observe that \mathcal{P} leaves uncovered the matching $M = \{(2i - 1, 2i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor\}$, and if n is odd, then all edges incident with vertex n are also uncovered.*

Consider any C_4 -decomposition \mathcal{P}^* that extends \mathcal{P} of Construction 3 to the vertex set $V \cup B$. Every edge of M must belong to a quadrilateral of \mathcal{P}^* with its opposite edge between

a pair of vertices not in the subset of V covered by M . These pairs must be distinct, hence either $\binom{|B|}{2} \geq n/2$ or $\binom{|B|+1}{2} \geq (n-1)/2$. This implies

$$f(n; C_4) \geq |B| \geq \sqrt{n} - 1,$$

and the lower bound in (4) follows.

5 Completing a quadrilateral packing

Given a C_4 -packing \mathcal{P} on the vertex set V , $|V| = n$, the union of the edge sets of the quadrilaterals in \mathcal{P} define a graph on V , its complement will be called the *graph of the uncovered edges* and it is denoted by $G(\mathcal{P})$, or simply by G . We also use the notation $f(\mathcal{P})$ to denote the smallest integer b such that \mathcal{P} can be extended into a C_4 -decomposition of order $n + b$.

Lindner [14] proved that if n is odd then \mathcal{P} can be completed by adding at most $2|E(G)|$ new vertices to it. We include here a short proof of Lindner's result.

Lemma 4. *Let \mathcal{P} be a C_4 -packing of order n and let G be the graph of the uncovered edges. If n is odd, then*

$$f(\mathcal{P}) \leq 2|E(G)|. \tag{6}$$

Proof. We shall define an iterative procedure. In each step a set B of new vertices is added to $V(G)$ together with quadrilaterals on $V \cup B$, thus extending \mathcal{P} and reducing the graph of uncovered edges by at least $|B|/2$.

If G contains a C_4 , then include it to \mathcal{P} .

If G contains a triangle $T = K_3$, then we add a set B of six new vertices. Include a C_4 -decomposition of K_9 on the vertex set $V(T) \cup B$ to \mathcal{P} , and add a C_4 -decomposition of the complete bipartite graph $K_{6, n-3}$ (see (2)) with parts B and $V \setminus V(T)$, each of even cardinality. Observe that in this step $|B| = 6$, $|E(G)|$ reduces by $|B|/2 = 3$, and $|V(G) \cup B|$ remains odd.

If G contains a (not necessarily induced) path $P = (a_1, \dots, a_5)$, then we add $B = \{b_1, b_2\}$. Include the quadrilaterals

$$(a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1), (a_3, a_4, a_5, b_2), (b_1, a_2, b_2, a_4),$$

and add a C_4 -decomposition of the complete bipartite graph $K_{2, n-5}$ (see (2)) with parts B and $V \setminus V(P)$, each of even cardinality. In this step we have $|B| = 2$, $|E(G)|$ reduces, and $|V(G) \cup B|$ remains odd.

The procedure consists in repeating these steps above in any order as long as any of the three conditions is satisfied. Since n is odd, the graph of the uncovered edges has only even degrees. Thus, if G contains no quadrilaterals, neither triangles, nor paths of length four, then G can not have any edge. Therefore, when our procedure stops, the quadrilaterals extending \mathcal{P} form a C_4 -decomposition on a vertex set that is not larger than $n + 2|E(G)|$. \square

6 Quadrilateral-free graphs, transversals

A set $Q \subset V(G)$ is called a *transversal* (or vertex-cover) of G if Q meets all edges of G . The minimum size of such a Q is called the transversal number and is denoted by $\tau(G)$. The complement of a transversal is an *independent set* of vertices. The size of a largest independent set is denoted by $\alpha(G)$.

Lemma 5. *Let G be a quadrilateral-free graph with a transversal Q . If $\deg(v) \neq 1$ for all $v \in V(G) \setminus Q$, then $|E(G)| \leq |Q|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|Q|$.*

Proof. Let M be the set of all isolated edges in the subgraph of G induced by Q , clearly $|M| \leq |Q|/2$. Observe that all edges in $E(G) \setminus M$ are covered by a path of the form (q_1, x, q_2) with $q_1, q_2 \in Q$. This is clear for the edges lying in Q , and it follows for any edge incident with a vertex $v \in V(G) \setminus Q$, since $V(G) \setminus Q$ is an independent set and $\deg(v) \geq 2$.

Because G is quadrilateral-free, there are at most $\binom{|Q|}{2}$ paths of the form (q_1, x, q_2) with $q_1, q_2 \in Q$. Therefore we have $|E(G) \setminus M| \leq 2\binom{|Q|}{2}$, and $|E(G)| = |M| + |E(G) \setminus M| \leq \frac{1}{2}|Q| + 2\binom{|Q|}{2} = |Q|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|Q|$ follows. \square

Note that the upper bound in Lemma 5 is tight as the following construction shows. Let Q be the vertex set of a complete graph, with $|Q|$ even, then subdivide each of the $\binom{|Q|}{2}$ edges by a vertex and add $|Q|/2$ further edges forming a matching on Q .

Lemma 6. *Let \mathcal{P} be a C_4 -packing and let G be the graph of the uncovered edges. If q vertices cover all edges of G , then \mathcal{P} can be completed by the inclusion of at most $2(q+1)^2$ additional vertices, that is*

$$f(\mathcal{P}) \leq 2(\tau(G) + 1)^2.$$

Proof. If \mathcal{P} is of even order, then change its parity by adding a further vertex. Consider the (eventually extended) graph G_1 of the uncovered edges. Clearly, G_1 has a transversal Q of size at most $q+1$. Remove a maximal set of edge disjoint quadrilaterals from $E(G_1)$ and include them to \mathcal{P} . The remaining graph of the uncovered edges, G_2 , is quadrilateral-free. Since $|V(G_2)| = |V(G_1)|$ is odd, the degrees of G_2 are all even. In particular, G_2 has no vertex of degree 1. By Lemmas 4 and 5, applied on G_2 , we obtain

$$f(\mathcal{P}) \leq 2|E(G_2)| \leq 2 \left[|Q|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|Q| \right] = 2 \left[(q+1)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(q+1) \right] < 2(\tau(G) + 1)^2.$$

□

7 Quadrilateral-free graphs, minimum degree

A neat double counting argument yields the particular case of a general estimation used in the Zarankiewicz problems in Ramsey theory, also known as the Johnson's bound for error correcting codes in coding theory (see in [3, 19]).

Lemma 7. *If E_1, \dots, E_m are sets satisfying $|E_i| \geq d$, for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and $|E_i \cap E_j| \leq 1$, for $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, then*

$$\left| \bigcup_{i=1}^m E_i \right| \geq \frac{d^2 m}{d-1+m}. \quad \square$$

It is known (see [3]) that if a graph G has n vertices and contains no quadrilateral, then $|E(G)| \leq (n + n\sqrt{4n-3})/4$. An obvious corollary is the bound

$$\delta(G) \leq \sqrt{n - \frac{3}{4}} + \frac{1}{2}. \quad (7)$$

In the next lemma we use the transversal number of the graph to obtain a better bound on $\delta(G)$ that is independent of the cardinality of $V(G)$.

Lemma 8. *If the edges of a quadrilateral-free graph G are covered by q vertices then*

$$\delta(G) < q^{1/2} + \frac{1}{2}q^{1/4} + \frac{1}{4}. \quad (8)$$

Note that this upper bound cannot be improved significantly without any further restriction. This follows from the fact that the polarity graph G_d is quadrilateral-free, it has $d^2 + d + 1$ vertices, its minimum degree is $\delta(G_d) = d$, and $\alpha(G_d) \leq d\sqrt{d+1} + d$ (see, e.g., [6]). Even more, Mubayi and Williford [18] recently showed that if G_d is the unitary polarity graph, then $\alpha(G_d) = d^{3/2} + 1$. (Such graph exists if d is an even power of a prime). Hence we should take $q = |V(G_d)| - \alpha(G_d) = d^2 - d^{3/2} + d$, and for these values

$$d \geq q^{1/2} + \frac{1}{2}q^{1/4} - \frac{1}{2}.$$

For the proof of the above lemma we will need the following statement.

Proposition 9. *Let $q \geq 1$ be fixed and define the polynomial $p(x) := x^3 - x^2 - (x^2 - q)^2$. Then $p(x) < 0$ for $x \geq q^{1/2} + \frac{1}{2}q^{1/4} + \frac{1}{4}$.*

Proof. Substitute $q := t^4$ (where $t \geq 1$ fixed) and $x := t^2 + \frac{1}{2}t + \frac{1}{4} + y$, where $y \geq 0$. After a little algebra (using Maple V) one obtains

$$p(x) = p\left(t^2 + \frac{1}{2}t + \frac{1}{4} + y\right) = -y^4 - y^3(4t^2 + 2t) - y^2 \frac{32t^4 + 48t^3 + 12t^2 + 5}{8} - y \frac{32t^5 + 16t^4 + 4t^3 + 10t^2 + 5t + 3}{8} - \frac{144t^4 + 160t^3 + 136t^2 + 48t + 13}{256}.$$

Here each term is negative, hence $p(x) < 0$ follows. \square

Proof of Lemma 8. Let Q be a set of q vertices covering the edges of G . Let $V \setminus Q = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$, $E_i := \{y \in Q : v_i y \in E(G)\}$ and $d := \delta(G)$. Since G is quadrilateral-free, $|E_i \cap E_j| \leq 1$, for $1 \leq i < j \leq m$. Since $q = |Q| \geq |\bigcup_{i=1}^m E_i|$, Lemma 7 implies

$$(d - 1 + m)q \geq d^2 m. \quad (9)$$

Inequality (7) is equivalent with

$$m + q = |V(G)| \geq d^2 - d + 1. \quad (10)$$

If $d^2 - q \leq 0$, then (8) follows immediately. Otherwise, multiply (10) by $(d^2 - q)$ and add it to (9). After rearrangements we obtain

$$d^3 - d^2 - (d^2 - q)^2 = p(d) \geq 0,$$

Thus, by Proposition 9, (8) follows. \square

8 Quadrilateral-free graphs, updegree

Let G be a graph with an ordering $\pi = (v_1, \dots, v_m)$ of its vertices. The *updegree* of the vertex v_i with respect to π is defined by

$$\vec{\deg}_G(v_i) = |\{v_\ell \mid \ell > i, v_i v_\ell \in E(G)\}|,$$

and the *updegree* of G with respect to π is defined by

$$\vec{\Delta}(G) = \max\{\vec{\deg}_G(v_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}.$$

If G is a graph with $d = \max\{\delta(H) \mid H \subseteq G\}$, then $\vec{\Delta}(G) \leq d$, for some π . Indeed, to obtain an appropriate ordering of $V(G)$ in which the updegree of each vertex becomes d or smaller it is enough to list the vertices by taking greedily the next vertex that has the fewest number of neighbors among the still unlisted vertices. (In fact, we have $d = \min_{\pi} \vec{\Delta}(G)$, for every graph G .) Hence (8) of Lemma 8 has the following consequence.

Proposition 10. *If a quadrilateral-free graph G has a transversal of q vertices then*

$$\vec{\Delta}(G) \leq q^{1/2} + q^{1/4}$$

with respect to an appropriate ordering of $V(G)$. □

9 Packing paths of length two

Lemma 11. *If G has updegree $d = \vec{\Delta}(G)$, then its edge set has a decomposition into a matching M and a set \mathcal{L} of edge disjoint copies of paths of length two such that every vertex appears at most $d + 2$ times as an end vertex of a member of \mathcal{L} .*

Proof. Let (v_1, \dots, v_m) the ordering of $V(G)$ that yields the updegree $d = \vec{\Delta}(G)$. The path formed by the two edges $v_i v_\ell, v_\ell v_j$ is called a *cherry* in G if $i, j < \ell$. Let \mathcal{L}_0 be a maximal set of pairwise edge disjoint cherries of G . Observe that each $x \in V(G)$ can appear in at most $\vec{\deg}_G(x) \leq d$ times as an end vertex of a cherry in \mathcal{L}_0 .

By the maximality of \mathcal{L}_0 , the set of edges of G not belonging to \mathcal{L}_0 form a forest T such that the updegree of T with respect to the reverse order $(v_m, v_{m-1}, \dots, v_1)$ satisfies $\vec{\Delta}(T) \leq 1$. Let F_1 be a maximal set of pairwise edge disjoint cherries in T . Note that these cherries have no common end vertices, since $\vec{\Delta}(T) \leq 1$.

The set of edges of T that are not covered by the cherries in F_1 form a linear forest L , that is the connected components of L are paths. Every path can be decomposed into a matching and copies of P_3 with no common end vertices. Let F_2 be the set of all these copies of P_3 , and let M be the matching collected from all components of L . Thus we obtain a decomposition of $E(G)$ into a matching M and a family of cherries $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 \cup F_1 \cup F_2$ as required. □

10 Extending a quadrilateral packing

Let \mathcal{P} be a C_4 -packing on the vertex set V , and let G be the graph of the edges uncovered by \mathcal{P} . We describe a procedure that extends \mathcal{P} into a packing \mathcal{P}' on $V \cup B$ which covers all edges of G by adding a (small) set B to V . Note that we do not require \mathcal{P}' to be a complete C_4 -decomposition.

Let $M \cup \mathcal{L}$ be an arbitrary decomposition of $E(G)$ into a matching M and a set \mathcal{L} of pairwise edge disjoint copies of P_3 . Denote $H(\mathcal{L})$ the graph on vertex set V with xy being an edge of $H(\mathcal{L})$ if and only if x, y are the end vertices of some P_3 belonging to \mathcal{L} .

Proposition 12. *If B is a set satisfying $B \cap V = \emptyset$ and*

$$|B| \geq \max \left\{ \sqrt{2|M|} + 1, \chi'_\ell(H(\mathcal{L})) + 2 \right\}, \quad (11)$$

then \mathcal{P} can be extended into a C_4 -packing \mathcal{P}' on $V \cup B$ that covers all edges in G .

Proof. Since $|M| \leq \binom{|B|}{2}$ there is a mapping $g : \bigcup \{\{x, y\} \mid xy \in M\} \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\{g(x), g(y)\} \neq \{g(x'), g(y')\} \text{ for any two distinct edges } xy, x'y' \in M.$$

Next, assign to every edge uv of $H(\mathcal{L})$ a list $L(uv)$

$$L(uv) := B \setminus \{g(u), g(v)\}.$$

By (11), we have $|L(uv)| \geq \chi'_\ell(H(\mathcal{L}))$. Hence by the definition of the list chromatic index, $H(\mathcal{L})$ has a list edge coloring $c : E(H(\mathcal{L})) \rightarrow B$ from the lists $L(uv)$. For any path $P \in \mathcal{L}$ with end vertices $u, v \in V$, we have $uv \in E(\mathcal{L})$, thus we can define $c(P) := c(uv)$. Then the list edge coloring c of $H(\mathcal{L})$ yields a mapping $c : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow B$ such that $c(P) \neq c(P')$ whenever $P, P' \in \mathcal{L}$ share a common end vertex. Therefore \mathcal{P} can be extended with the quadrilaterals of the form

$$(x, y, g(y), g(x)), \text{ for } xy \in M \quad \text{and} \quad (u, w, v, c(P)), \text{ for } P = (u, w, v) \in \mathcal{L}.$$

In this way we obtain a packing \mathcal{P}' that covers all edges in $M \cup \{P \mid P \in \mathcal{L}\}$ thus covering all edges of G as required. \square

Proposition 13. *Let \mathcal{P} be a C_4 -packing on V , let G be the graph of the uncovered edges, and let $\nu = \nu(G)$. Then \mathcal{P} has an extension into \mathcal{P}' on $V \cup B$ saturating G such that*

$$b := |B| \leq \sqrt{2\nu} + c_0\nu^{1/4}(\log \nu)^4 + c_1\nu^{1/4}. \quad (12)$$

Here c_0 comes from (5) and c_1 is an absolute constant.

Proof. We may suppose that G is quadrilateral-free. The transversal number of a graph is at most 2ν , so that Proposition 10 gives $d := \vec{\Delta}(G) \leq \sqrt{2\nu} + (2\nu)^{1/4}$ with respect to an appropriate ordering of $V(G)$. Then Lemma 11 supplies a decomposition of $E(G)$ into a matching M and a set \mathcal{L} of pairwise edge disjoint copies of P_3 such that

$$\Delta(H(\mathcal{L})) \leq d + 2 \leq \sqrt{2\nu} + O(\nu^{1/4}),$$

where $H(\mathcal{L})$ is the graph defined by the end vertices of the paths in \mathcal{L} as above. Observe that since G contains no quadrilateral, $H(\mathcal{L})$ is a simple graph. Thus applying the upper bound (5) we obtain

$$\chi'_\ell(H(\mathcal{L})) \leq (d + 2) + c_0(d + 2)^{1/4}(\log(d + 2))^{1/4} = \sqrt{2\nu} + c_0\nu^{1/4}(\log \nu)^4 + O(\nu^{1/4}).$$

So there exists a $c_1 > 0$ such that if we let

$$b := \max \left\{ \left\lceil \sqrt{2|M} \right\rceil + 1, \chi'_\ell(H(\mathcal{L})) + 2 \right\},$$

then b satisfies both (12) and (11). Finally, Proposition 12 yields the desired packing \mathcal{P}' . \square

11 Proof of the theorem

In this section we prove Theorem 1. By Proposition 2, we only have to prove $f(\mathcal{P}) \leq (1 + o(1))\sqrt{n}$ for every C_4 -packing on n vertices. Let $\mathcal{P}_0 := \mathcal{P}$ be a quadrilateral packing on $V_0 = V$, and let G_0 be the graph of the edges uncovered by \mathcal{P}_0 . Observe that $\nu(G_0) \leq n/2$.

We define the consecutive extensions $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \mathcal{P}_3$ as follows. Given the quadrilateral packing \mathcal{P}_{i-1} on V_{i-1} , let G_{i-1} be its graph of uncovered edges. We apply Proposition 13 to obtain \mathcal{P}_i on a set $V(\mathcal{P}_{i-1}) \cup B_i$ that covers all edges of G_{i-1} . Obviously B_i is a transversal set in G_i , in particular, G_i has no matching with more than $b_i := |B_i|$ edges, for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Because $\nu(G_0) \leq n/2$, it follows successively by (12) that

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &\leq (1 + o(1))\sqrt{n}, \\ b_2 &\leq (1 + o(1))\sqrt{2b_1} = O(n^{1/4}), \\ b_3 &\leq (1 + o(1))\sqrt{2b_2} = O(n^{1/8}). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

As the last step of the procedure we apply Lemma 6 to extend \mathcal{P}_3 into a C_4 -decomposition \mathcal{P}_4 by adding a set B_4 to $V(\mathcal{P}_3)$ with $b_4 = |B_4| \leq 2(b_3 + 1)^2$.

By (13), we have $b_4 \leq O(n^{1/4})$, and thus we obtain an embedding \mathcal{P} into a C_4 -decomposition on $n + b_1 + b_2 + b_3 + b_4 = n + \sqrt{n} + o(\sqrt{n})$ vertices. Hence $f(\mathcal{P}) \leq (1 + o(1))\sqrt{n}$ follows. \square

12 Conclusion, conjectures

In the proof of Theorem 1 we determined $f(n; C_4)$ asymptotically. It is tempting to conjecture that the worst example is the one given by Construction 3.

If H is not bipartite, then $f(n; H)$ is very likely linear in n . We conjecture that for every bipartite graph H one has $f(n; H) = o(n)$.

References

- [1] N. ALON, Y. CARO, AND R. YUSTER: Packing and covering dense graphs, *J. Combinatorial Designs* **6** (1998), 451–472.
- [2] J.-C. BERMOND AND D. SOTTEAU: Graph decompositions and G -designs, *Proceedings of the Fifth British Combinatorial Conference* (Univ. Aberdeen, Aberdeen, 1975), pp. 53–72. *Congressus Numerantium*, No. XV, Utilitas Math., Winnipeg, Man., 1976.
- [3] B. BOLLOBÁS: *Extremal Graph Theory*, 1978, Academic Press.
- [4] B. BOLLOBÁS, AND A.J. HARRIS, *List colorings of graphs*, *Graphs and Combin.* **1** (1985), 115–127.
- [5] D. E. BRYANT, A. KHODKAR, AND S. I. EL-ZANATI: Small embeddings for partial G -designs when G is bipartite, *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.* **26** (1999), 86–90.
- [6] Z. FÜREDI AND J. KAHN: Dimension versus size, *Order* **5** (1988), 17–20.
- [7] R. HÄGGKVIST AND J. JANSSEN: New bounds on the list chromatic index of the complete graph and other simple graphs. *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **6** (1997), 295–313.
- [8] A. J. W. HILTON AND C. C. LINDNER: Embedding partial 4-cycle systems, manuscript.
- [9] D. G. HOFFMAN, C. C. LINDNER, AND C. A. RODGER: A partial $2k$ -cycle system of order n can be embedded in a $2k$ -cycle system of order $kn + c(k)$, $k \geq 3$, where $c(k)$ is a quadratic function of k . *Discrete Math.* **261** (2003), 325–336.
- [10] P. JENKINS, Embedding partial G -designs where G is a 4-cycle with a pendant edge, *Discrete Math.* **292** (2005), 83–93.
- [11] J. KAHN: Asymptotically good list colorings, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **73** (1996), 1–59.
- [12] S. KÜÇÜKÇİFÇİ, C. LINDNER, AND C. RODGER, A partial kite system of order n can be embedded in a kite system of order $8n + 9$, *Ars Combinatoria*, **79** 2006, 257–268.
- [13] C. C. LINDNER: A partial 4-cycle system of order n can be embedded in a 4-cycle system of order at most $2n + 15$, *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.* **37** (2003), 88–93.
- [14] C. C. LINDNER: A small embedding for partial 4-cycle systems when the leave is small, *J. Autom. Lang. Comb.* **8** (2003), 659–662.
- [15] C. C. LINDNER AND C. A. RODGER: Decomposition into cycles II: Cycle systems, in *Contemporary Design Theory: A collection of surveys* (J. H. Dinitz and D. R. Stinson, Eds.), John Wiley and Sons, 1992, pp. 325–369.
- [16] C. C. Lindner, C. A. Rodger, and D. R. Stinson, Embedding cycle systems of even length. *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* **3** (1988), 65–69.

- [17] M. MOLLOY AND B. REED: Near-optimal list colorings. *Random Structures and Algorithms* **17** (2000), 376–402.
- [18] D. MUBAYI AND J. WILLIFORD: On the independence number of the Erdős-Rényi and Projective Norm graphs and a related hypergraph, *Journal of Graph Theory*, to appear
- [19] J. VAN LINDT: *Introduction to Coding Theory*, Graduate Texts in Math. **86**, Springer-Verlag (1982).
- [20] R. M. WILSON: Constructions and uses of pairwise balanced designs, in *Combinatorics* (M. Hall, Jr. and J. H. van Lint, Eds.), pp. 18–41, *Math. Centre Tracts*, Vol. 55, 1974.
- [21] R. M. WILSON, Decomposition of complete graphs into subgraphs isomorphic to a given graph, *Congr. Numer.* **15** (1975), 647.-659.