

HW 9 SOLUTIONS, MA525

PROBLEM 1

(a): First, the exact sequence of pairs:

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_n(S^n \setminus pt) \rightarrow H_n(S^n) \rightarrow H_n(S^n, S^n \setminus pt) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(S^n \setminus pt) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Since $S^n \setminus pt$ is contractible, the above sequence gives the isomorphism $H_n(S^n) \approx H_n(S^n, S^n \setminus pt)$. Excision of the sets $S^n \setminus U$ and $S^n \setminus V$ respectively, gives the isomorphisms: $H_n(S^n, S^n \setminus x) \approx H_n(U, U \setminus x)$ and $H_n(S^n, S^n \setminus y) \approx H_n(V, V \setminus y)$. Thus, fixing a generator α of $H_n(S^n)$ gives generators $\bar{\alpha}$ of $H_n(U, U \setminus x)$ and $\bar{\alpha}'$ of $H_n(V, V \setminus y)$.

Now consider the map $H_n(S^n) \rightarrow H_n(S^n, S^n \setminus f^{-1}y)$ in the long exact sequence of the pair $(S^n, S^n \setminus f^{-1}y)$. There is also the induced map $f_* : H_n(S^n, S^n \setminus f^{-1}y) \rightarrow H_n(S^n, S^n \setminus y)$. The induced map in homology for the restriction f to the map of pairs: $(U, U \setminus x) \rightarrow (V, V \setminus y)$ is the composite $H_n(U, U \setminus x) \approx H_n(S^n, S^n \setminus x) \approx H_n(S^n) \rightarrow H_n(S^n, S^n \setminus f^{-1}y) \rightarrow H_n(S^n, S^n \setminus y) \rightarrow H_n(V, V \setminus y)$. So the local degree is independent of the choice of generator of $H_n(S^n)$.

(b): Suppose U' and V' are different open neighborhoods of x and y such that f restricted to U' is a homeomorphism with $f(U') \subset V'$. By Excision, there are isomorphisms $H_n(U, U \setminus x) \approx H_n(U \cup U', U \cup U' \setminus x)$ and $H_n(V, V \setminus y) \approx H_n(V \cup V', V \cup V' \setminus y)$, and the induced maps f_* commutes with these isomorphisms. So, the local degree $deg_x f$ is independent of the choice of open sets.

(c): Taylor's theorem with remainders implies that there exists a positive constant c and an open set V around x , such that the remainder $f(v) - a(v)$ satisfies $\|f(v) - a(v)\| \leq c \|v - x\|^2$ for all $v \in V$. For the radius $r = \|Df\|/2c$, where $\|Df\|$ is the norm of the derivative of f at x , let $B(x, r)$ be the open ball centered at x of radius r . Set $U = B(x, r) \cap V$. For all $v \in U$, we have the estimate for the remainder

$$\|f(v) - a(v)\| \leq c \|v - x\|^2 < \frac{\|Df\|}{2} \|v - x\|$$

By the triangle inequality, we get the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|a(v) - y\| &> \|Df\| \cdot \|v - x\| - c \|v - x\|^2 \\ &\geq \|Df\| \cdot \|v - x\| - c \left(\frac{\|Df\|}{2c} \right) \|v - x\| \\ &= \frac{\|Df\|}{2} \|v - x\| \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the straight line segment joining $f(v)$ and $a(v)$ is disjoint from y . Hence the straight line homotopy between $f(v)$ and $a(v)$ on U is a homotopy of pairs $a, f : (U, U \setminus x) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n \setminus y)$.

This in turn shows that $deg_x f = deg_0 Df$.

(d): Consider the process of Gaussian elimination on T to get a diagonal matrix with ± 1 on the diagonal. The desired elimination can be achieved by a sequence of row reductions of the form: $R_i \rightarrow aR_i + bR_j$ where $a > 0$. So it is enough to show that one can interpolate between an invertible matrix and the matrix after a basic row operation as above, through a family of invertible matrices. But this is obvious: just linear interpolate between R_i and $aR_i + bR_j$. The resulting matrices remain invertible.

PROBLEM 2

(a): It suffices to compute $\deg_{\infty} f$. There exists a radius R large enough so that if U is the set of complex numbers z with $|z| > R$, then f is homotopic to z^n as a map of pairs: $(U, U \setminus \infty) \rightarrow (S^2, S^2 \setminus \infty)$. So $\deg_{\infty} f = \deg_{\infty} z^n = n$.

(b): Standard complex analysis shows that the number of roots of f has to be finite (otherwise there is a convergent sequence, whose limit should also be a root. Then by the appropriate theorem from complex analysis f has to be a constant map). So we can choose a small enough neighborhood V of 0 such that for distinct roots $w_1 \neq w_2$, the preimages of V containing w_1 and w_2 are disjoint. Shrinking V further if necessary, we can arrange that when w is a root of multiplicity k , the number of pre-images of $z \neq 0 \in V$ in the neighborhood $U = f^{-1}V$ containing w is k . Therefore, by part (a), adding the multiplicities of the roots gives n .

PROBLEM 3

Embed S^n in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} in the standard way, and let p be the projecting in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} to the first n coordinates. The restriction of p to S^n maps it onto the unit ball \mathbb{D}^n in \mathbb{R}^n . Let q be the quotient map $\mathbb{D}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^n/S^{n-1} = S^n$. The composition $q \circ p$ defines a map $S^n \rightarrow S^n$. The induced map on $H_n(S^n)$ factors through $H_n(\mathbb{D}^n) = 0$, and so has degree 0.

HATCHER 2.2 PROBLEM 11

Recall the cell structure of the space X . It has two 0-cells marked u and v , four 1-cells marked a, b, c, d , three 2-cells marked E, F, G and a single 3-cell given by the cube I itself.




FIGURE 1. cell structure

We use Theorem 2.35 from Hatcher to compute the homology. First, we compute the cellular chain complex and the boundary maps.

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_4 &= 0 \\
 C_3 &= \mathbb{Z}I \\
 C_2 &= \mathbb{Z}E \oplus \mathbb{Z}F \oplus \mathbb{Z}G \\
 C_1 &= \mathbb{Z}a \oplus \mathbb{Z}b \oplus \mathbb{Z}c \oplus \mathbb{Z}d \\
 C_0 &= \mathbb{Z}u \oplus \mathbb{Z}v
 \end{aligned}$$

The boundary maps are

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial_4 &= 0 \\
 \partial_3 I &= 0 \\
 \partial_2 E &= a + b + c + d, \quad \partial_2 F = a + d - b - c, \quad \partial_2 G = a - c - d + b \\
 \partial_1 a &= v - u, \quad \partial_1 b = u - v, \quad \partial_1 c = v - u, \quad \partial_1 d = u - v
 \end{aligned}$$

The boundary map $\partial_3 I = 0$ because each pair of opposite faces, for instance the front and the back face, are equal to $\pm E$ (with opposite signs) after the gluing. Hence, E cancels off with $-E$ in computing the boundary.

Finally, the homology computations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_3(X) &= \text{Ker} \partial_3 / \text{Im} \partial_4 \approx \mathbb{Z} \\
 \text{Ker} \partial_2 &= 0 \text{ by rank calculation on the matrix, } H_2(X) = 0 \\
 \text{Ker} \partial_1 &= \mathbb{Z}(a + b) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(b + c) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(c + d) = \mathbb{Z}x_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}x_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}x_3, \\
 \text{Im} \partial_2 &= \text{Span}(x_1 + x_3, x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3, x_1 - x_3) = \text{Span}(x_1 + x_3, 2x_2, 2x_1), \\
 \text{So } H_1(X) &= \text{Ker} \partial_1 / \text{Im} \partial_2 \approx \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \\
 \text{Im} \partial_1 &= \mathbb{Z}(u - v), \text{ So } H_0(X) \approx \mathbb{Z}
 \end{aligned}$$